

OACRAO Interest Session Presentation
Articulation & Transfer Clearinghouse Electronic Transcript Exchange

- UC among the first three colleges to begin participation in the ATC in December 2007
- 2,422 XML received 2010 (avg 202/mo)
- 2,211 XML received 2011 (avg 246/mo) / to date
- Goal is for all Ohio Public institutions to be sending and receiving all transcripts electronically via the ATC
- Possible for an institution to manually receive transcripts via ATC by printing a PDF of transcripts received however, must send XML transcripts.
- Registrar's Office Sends / Admissions Receives
- Sometimes presents a problem with development as Registrar "owns" / Admissions must match records
- Difference between paper and XML transcript
 - Paper can get into the wrong hands
 - XML is more secure / OBR had an independent study done of the security of the ATC and received a good rating
 - Since paper can get into wrong hands student identifiers are limited
 - XML provides the opportunity to share more information that we would on paper such as student address, date of birth, SSN or last four digits of the SSN.
 - Student identifiers are very important in matching student records particularly in an electronic environment
- Goal of the ATC is for colleges to receive XML transcripts and load them directly into SIS
- UC has been doing this and when it works its beautiful / no human intervention / load courses and grades which kicks off our automated credit evaluation process
- When student identifiers are insufficient for SIS to find the matching record we must print and enter manually (more time-consuming than receiving a paper transcript)
- When a new school begins process we work closely with them on data mapping (usually requires tweaking on the sending side)
- Although ATC is built on the PESC Data Standards, the standard includes hundreds of data fields / there is some interpretation required in setting up a transcript for sending
- At this time we do not have ATC data standards / this is because schools have not been able to come to agreement about what the standards should be
- Terea Shambry is going to talk more about this, but agreement on data standards is essential to full implementation of the ATC.

Linda Arnest
Director, Credit Evaluation & Degree Progress Audit
University of Cincinnati
Thursday, October 13, 2011

**OACRAO Interest Session Presentation
Articulation & Transfer Clearinghouse Electronic Transcript Exchange**

Electronic Transcripts Received via the ATC December 2007 to Present

Institution	Transcripts Received
University of Akron	123
Belmont Technical College	1
Bowling Green State University	19
Cincinnati State Technical & Community College	3,920
Clark State Community College	71
Cleveland State University	12
Columbus State Community College	127
Eastern Gateway Community College (formerly Jefferson CC)	4
Edison State Community College	14
Kent State University	40
Marion Technical College	9
Northwest State Community College	1
Ohio University	269
The Ohio State University	176
James A. Rhodes State College	36
Sinclair Community College	1,540
Shawnee State University	22
Southern State Community College	488
Stark State College of Technology	4
Wright State University	653
Washington State Community College	1
Total:	7,530
Average Per Year:	1,883

Linda Arnest
Director, Credit Evaluation & Degree Progress Audit
University of Cincinnati
Thursday, October 13, 2011